

VARIETY GUIDE 2023/2024

Southern Region







HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

*This guide is designed to help growers in the Southern canegrowing region with their agronomic considerations when selecting new varieties to plant and trial on their farms. The information comes from the best available data of regional variety performance and disease ratings. **The information in the tables will help you understand:***

	New and recent varieties available in the Southern region	4
	Smut ratings	6
	Pachymetra ratings	7
	Harvest management	8
	Variety by herbicide screening trials	10
	Disease resistance	12
	Variety adoption in each mill area	13
	Sugarcane Biosecurity Zone Map	17
	Propagating new varieties	18
	Planting and managing tissue-cultured plantlets in the field	19

WANT TO KNOW WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE OTHER REGIONS?

You can find all the regional variety guides on the SRA website. Visit sugarresearch.com.au or scan the QR code.



(Cover page) A selection of sugarcane varieties bound for transport to undergo disease screening.

(Opposite) Harvesting a trail at Qunaba. The hats are used as a guide for the harvesting crew to produce accurate weights of each plot in the trial. The data is extrapolated to produce tonnes of cane per hectare (TCH).

ISSN 2208-7605 (Online) ISSN 2208-7591 (Print) © Copyright 2023 by Sugar Research Australia Limited. All rights reserved. No part of the *Variety Guide 2023/24 Southern Region* (this publication), may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Sugar Research Australia Limited. Sugar Research Australia Limited acknowledges and thanks its funding providers, including levy payers (sugarcane growers and millers), the Commonwealth Government, and the Queensland Government (Department of Agriculture and Fisheries). **Disclaimer:** In this disclaimer a reference to 'SRA', 'we', 'us' or 'our' means Sugar Research Australia Limited and our directors, officers, agents and employees. Although we do our very best to present information that is correct and accurate, we make no warranties, guarantees or representations about the suitability, reliability, currency or accuracy of the information we present in this publication, for any purposes. Subject to any terms implied by law and which cannot be excluded, we accept no responsibility for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred by you as a result of the use of, or reliance on, any materials and information appearing in this publication. You, the user, accept sole responsibility and risk associated with the use and results of the information appearing in this publication, and you agree that we will not be liable for any loss or damage whatsoever (including through negligence) arising out of, or in connection with the use of this publication. We recommend that you contact our staff before acting on any information provided in this publication. **Warning:** Our tests, inspections and recommendations should not be relied on without further, independent inquiries. They may not be accurate, complete or applicable for your particular needs for many reasons, including (for example) SRA being unaware of other matters relevant to individual crops, the analysis of unrepresentative samples or the influence of environmental, managerial or other factors on production.



NEW AND RECENT VARIETIES AVAILABLE IN THE SOUTHERN REGION

Variety Recommendation and Release Process

Regional Variety Committees (RVCs) are responsible for variety release decisions. SRA supports these groups with secretariat support and the provision of technical information to assist the committee to make decisions on particular varieties. RVCs are composed of voting members and observers to ensure transparency in the decision-making process.

The Southern RVC (Sugarcane Biosecurity Zone 4 and 5) voting membership consists of one grower representative, one miller representative and one productivity board services representative from Bundaberg, Isis, Maryborough and Rocky Point mill area, in total 12 voting members. Rocky Point also sits on the RVC in NSW as an observer. The Southern RVC requires a majority vote for progression of a variety through the breeding program and a majority vote for the release of a variety.

If you would like more information on new variety releases and regional variety committees, please visit the SRA website:

sugarresearch.com.au
or scan the QR code



Presented below and opposite are the results of trials conducted in the Southern region. Yield (TCH) and Commercial Cane Sugar (CCS) for each new variety are compared with the trial results of various standard varieties.

Variety: SRA39 [Ⓛ] Q510-445		Parentage: QN80-3425 x CP95-1569 / Summary: High tonnes cane, lower CCS.								
TRIAL HARVEST YEAR	CROP CLASS	AVG YIELD (TCH)				AVG CCS				# OF HARVESTS
		SRA39 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	SRA39 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	
(2016 FATs): 2017	Plant	112	100	100	105	14.9	16.0	16.0	15.9	5
2018	1R	110	91	98	99	14.0	14.7	15.1	15.4	5
2019	2R	94	81	80	81	13.9	14.3	14.7	15.0	5
(2018 Repeated FATs): 2019	Plant	98	99	86	90	14.4	14.7	15.2	15.1	4
2020	1R	109	99	93	92	15.4	15.7	16.3	16.1	3
2021	2R	123	114	104	103	14.9	15.1	15.7	15.6	4
Overall Performance		107	97	93	95	14.5	15.0	15.5	15.5	26
Available 2023										
Comments:		Maintains productivity in ratoons. Resistant to Pachymetra Root Rot, mosaic, smut, Fiji and Leaf Scald. Good fibre quality trends and high fibre content.								

Variety: SRA38 [Ⓛ] Q510-863		Parentage: QS92-339 x TCP87-3388 / Summary: High tonnes cane, moderate CCS.								
TRIAL HARVEST YEAR	CROP CLASS	AVG YIELD (TCH)				AVG CCS				# OF HARVESTS
		SRA38 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	SRA38 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	
(2016 FATs): 2017	Plant	101	100	100	105	15.7	16.0	16.0	15.9	5
2018	1R	102	91	98	99	15.0	14.7	15.1	15.4	5
2019	2R	89	81	80	81	14.6	14.3	14.7	15.0	5
(2018 Repeated FATs): 2019	Plant	99	99	86	90	14.8	14.7	15.2	15.1	4
2020	1R	106	99	93	92	16.0	15.7	16.3	16.1	3
2021	2R	115	114	104	103	15.5	15.1	15.7	15.6	4
Overall Performance		101	97	93	95	15.2	15.0	15.5	15.5	26
Available 2022										
Comments:		Maintains productivity in ratoons. Resistant to Pachymetra Root Rot, mosaic and Fiji and intermediate resistant to Smut and Leaf Scald. Good fibre quality trends and average fibre content. Lodging has been recorded in trials.								

Variety: SRA34 [Ⓛ] QS09-7888		Parentage: QC83-627 x Q222 / Summary: Moderate tonnes cane, moderate CCS.								
TRIAL HARVEST YEAR	CROP CLASS	AVG YIELD (TCH)				AVG CCS				# OF HARVESTS
		SRA34 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	SRA34 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	
(2015 series FATs): 2016	Plant	145	126	140	137	15.7	15.9	15.9	16.5	4
2017	1R	123	118	123	123	15.1	15.2	15.1	15.5	4
2018	2R	98	88	99	94	15.3	15.3	15.9	15.8	4
(2017 Repeated FATs): 2018	Plant	80	72	66	75	16.7	16.0	16.5	16.8	2
2019	1R	91	88	83	91	15.2	14.8	15.6	15.8	2
2020	2R	76	83	72	80	15.8	15.4	15.9	16.0	2
(2018 Repeated FATs): 2019	Plant	55	48	43	51	15.3	15.3	15.4	15.7	1
2020	1R	93	87	81	89	17.5	16.4	17.4	17.4	1
Overall Performance		105	98	101	102	15.6	15.5	15.8	16.1	20
Available 2022										
Comments:		Released as a 1-year variety in NSW in 2021. Resistant to Pachymetra, Fiji, Leaf Scald and mosaic. Intermediate resistance to Smut. Average fibre content.								

Variety: SRAW33 [Ⓛ] KQ07-4897		Parentage: Q208 x CP74-2005 / Summary: High tonnes cane, high CCS.								
TRIAL HARVEST YEAR	CROP CLASS	AVG YIELD (TCH)				AVG CCS				# OF HARVESTS
		SRAW33 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	SRAW33 [Ⓛ]	Q208 [Ⓛ]	Q240 [Ⓛ]	KQ228 [Ⓛ]	
(2016 FATs): 2017	Plant	92	86	86	87	16.8	16.2	16.2	16.5	3
2018	1R	109	94	101	101	16.6	15.9	16.2	16.7	3
2019	2R	88	80	76	83	16.7	16.2	16.2	16.5	3
(2018 Repeated FATs): 2019	Plant	80	84	74	77	15.6	15.2	15.4	15.4	3
2020	1R	100	99	93	92	16.6	15.7	16.3	16.1	3
2021	2R	126	123	114	112	16.1	15.3	15.9	15.8	3
Overall Performance		99	94	91	92	16.4	15.7	16.0	16.2	18
Available 2021										
Comments:		Good rEGV (11.23) and average fibre content (12.7%) over 18 crops. Variety fast-tracked from Accelerated status to Release. Resistant to Fiji Leaf Gall, Leaf Scald, Mosaic and Smut. Intermediate resistant to Pachymetra Root Rot. Tendency to side-shoot. Flowering propensity at least equivalent to Q208 [Ⓛ] .								

SRA39[Ⓛ]SRA38[Ⓛ]SRA34[Ⓛ]SRAW33[Ⓛ]

For more information on variety field trials contact:
Variety Development Officer Southern

Clare Hogan


T 0410 221 763

E chogan@sugarresearch.com.au




SMUT RATINGS

Smut resistance ratings are calculated from the incidence and severity of infection compared to standard varieties in inoculated field trials. The graphic below includes the rating and the 95% confidence interval for each variety. The confidence interval is influenced by factors such as the number of trials and the uniformity of smut infection. For example, Q232^(b) has been in 14 smut trials and has a narrow confidence interval from 3.4 to 4.5. The variety SRA20^(b) has been in two smut trials and has a confidence interval from 1.1 to 3.4. Rating confidence will improve as more data is collected.



PACHYMETRA RATINGS

Pachymetra resistance ratings are calculated from the severity of infection in a test clone compared to standard varieties in inoculated bench trials. The graphic below includes the rating and the 95% confidence interval for each variety. The confidence interval is influenced by factors such as the number of times a variety has been tested and variability of Pachymetra infection within each trial. For example, KQ228^(b) has been tested in 40 trials and has a narrow confidence interval from 4 to 5.6 while the newer variety SRA20^(b) has only been tested in three Pachymetra trials and ranges from 1.4 to 4.5. Rating confidence will improve as more data is collected.



HARVEST MANAGEMENT

Select varieties for a harvest plan that can be followed to maintain maximum CCS throughout the year. The tables below indicate early, mid and late sugar varieties.

Bundaberg and Isis					
VARIETY	EARLY SUGAR	MID SUGAR	LATE SUGAR	TRASHING	LODGING
SRA39 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Average	Unknown
SRA38 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Average	Free-Average	Poor
SRA34 [Ⓛ]	Good	Average	Average	Average	Average
SRAW33 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Average	Average
SRA29 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Free	Average
SRA20 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Good	Free-Average	Average
SRA19 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Good	Average	Average
SRA11 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Good	Free	Good
SRA4 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Average	Good
SRA2 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free-Average	Average
SRA1 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Average	Poor
Q252 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free	Average
Q245 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Average	Average	Average
Q242 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Poor	Average-Tight	Poor
Q240 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free-Average	Average
Q238 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Average	Average	Good
Q232 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Poor	Tight	Poor
KQ228 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Average-Tight	Average
Q208 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Good	Free	Average
Q183 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Good	Free-Average	Average
Q138	Poor	Poor	Poor	Average	Average

Maximise your profit at harvest: Selecting varieties for specific sugar maturity profiles, planting and harvesting them for optimal CCS maturity can make a significant difference in the profit your crop can make for you. Making harvest decisions based on in-field maturity maximises profit making decisions.

TRASHING

- FREE
- FREE-AVERAGE
- AVERAGE
- AVERAGE-TIGHT
- TIGHT

SEASONAL SUGAR AND LODGING

- GOOD
- AVERAGE
- LOW
- POOR
- UNKNOWN

Maryborough					
VARIETY	EARLY SUGAR	MID SUGAR	LATE SUGAR	TRASHING	LODGING
SRA34 [Ⓛ]	Good	Average	Average	Average	Average
SRAW33 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Average	Average
SRA29 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Free	Average
SRA20 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Good	Free-Average	Average
SRA19 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Good	Average	Average
SRA11 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Good	Free	Good
Q252 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Free	Average
Q245 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Average	Average	Average
Q242 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Average-Tight	Poor
Q240 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free-Average	Average
Q238 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Average	Average	Good
Q232 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Average	Tight	Average
KQ228 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Average-Tight	Average
Q208 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Good	Free	Average
Q183 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Good	Free-Average	Average
Q138	Average	Average	Average	Average	Good

Rocky Point					
VARIETY	EARLY SUGAR	MID SUGAR	LATE SUGAR	TRASHING	LODGING
SRA34 [Ⓛ]	Good	Average	Average	Average	Average
SRA11 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Free	Unknown
SRA4 [Ⓛ]	Average	Average	Average	Average	Unknown
SRA2 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Free-Average	Average
SRA1 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Average	Average
Q252 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free	Average
Q242 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Average-Tight	Poor
Q240 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free-Average	Average
Q238 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Good	Average	Good
Q235 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Unknown	Poor
Q232 [Ⓛ]	Poor	Average	Average	Tight	Unknown
KQ228 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Average	Average-Tight	Average
Q208 [Ⓛ]	Good	Good	Good	Free	Average
Q183 [Ⓛ]	Average	Good	Good	Free-Average	Average
Q138	Average	Average	Average	Tight	Unknown



VARIETY BY HERBICIDE SCREENING TRIALS

Sugarcane varieties are known to have variable responses to herbicides with some being more impacted than others. As a result, data outlining susceptibility is critical to optimise productivity outcomes.

Since 2014, SRA has conducted trials following a two-step process to obtain reliable data for the susceptibility of varieties to herbicide. This process is:

- a fully randomised replicated pot trial in year one to shortlist the most susceptible combinations of varieties and herbicides
- a fully randomised replicated field trial in year two to confirm that the shortlisted combinations have an impact on yield.

In year three, the two-step process starts again, with new combinations of newly released varieties and herbicides.

In these trials, products are applied at their maximum label rate (and their minimum water label rate) when plant cane is at four- to six-leaf stage.

In the pot trials, weekly phytotoxicity ratings are conducted using the European Weed Research Council (EWRC) rating scale **Table 1** and the aerial plant dry biomass is measured 10 weeks after spraying.

In the field trials, plant cane yield is measured at harvest using a weigh truck.

In all trials, KQ228[®] is assessed and used as a susceptible reference variety to compare to other tested varieties.

Table 2 describes the phytotoxicity symptoms obtained on KQ228[®] and their expected severity. All varieties present identical symptoms but their severity may vary between varieties.

Tables 3, 4 and 5 summarise all phytotoxicity, biomass and yield results obtained in the pot and field trials from 2014 to 2022.

These tables are updated yearly to include newly tested combinations of varieties by herbicides.

For more information contact:
Emilie Fillols, Weed Scientist
T 07 4056 4510

TABLE 1 EWRC selectivity rating scale

SCORE	SELECTIVITY
1	No effect
2	Very slight effects. Some stunting and yellowing just visible
3	Slight effects. Stunting and yellowing obvious, effects reversible
4	Substantial chlorosis and or stunting, most effects probably reversible
5	Strong chlorosis/stunting, thinning of stand (50% loss)
6	Increasing severity of damage (70% loss)
7	Increasing severity of damage (85% loss)
8	Increasing severity of damage (90% loss) a few plants survive
9	Total loss of plants and yield

TABLE 2 Summary of phytotoxicity ratings and symptoms obtained on the reference susceptible variety KQ228[®]

	2,4-D	AMETRYN	AMETRYN+TRIFLOXY SULFURON	AMICARBAZONE	ASULAM	DIURON	FLUMIOXAZIN	METOLACHLOR	METRIBUZIN	MSMA
DESCRIPTION OF SYMPTOMS	Small white spotty discolorations	Yellowing of the whole plant	Slight yellow blotching	Small white spotty discolorations	Bright yellow blotching	Slight yellowing of the whole plant	Large necrotic lesions	Small necrotic lesions	Slight yellowing of the whole plant	Large necrotic lesions
PHOTOGRAPH OF SYMPTOMS										
SYMPTOM SEVERITY ON KQ228 [®]	Mild	Medium to severe	Mild	Mild	Medium	Mild	Severe	Medium	Mild	Medium to severe
KQ228 [®] PHYTO RATING RANGE										
	1.2 to 2.3	1.8 to 3.2	1.3	1.3 to 1.8	1.1 to 2.6	1.8 to 2.0	3.9 to 4.1	1.1 to 2.8	1.2 to 2.0	1.7 to 3.8

TABLE 3 Herbicide symptoms severity on the cane foliage for all testing varieties. (Legend: refer to table 1 on the left)

VARIETY	2,4-D	AMETRYN	AMETRYN+ TRIFLOXY- SULFURON	AMICARBA- ZONE	ASULAM	DIURON	FLUMIOXAZIN	METOLACHLOR	METRIBUZIN	MSMA
KQ228 ^h	1.6	1.9	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.3	3.6	2.1	1.5	3.0
Q208 ^h	1.5		1.6		1.8			2.0	1.4	2.9
Q232 ^h	1.6		1.8		1.9			2.2	1.6	3.0
Q238 ^h	1.7		1.8		2.0			2.3	1.6	3.1
Q240 ^h	1.6		1.7		1.8			2.1	1.5	2.9
Q242 ^h	1.6		1.8		1.9			2.2	1.6	3.0
Q249 ^h	1.6		1.7		1.9			2.2	1.6	3.0
Q252 ^h	1.6		1.7		1.8			2.1	1.5	3.0
SRA1 ^h	1.3	1.7			1.6			1.9	1.3	2.7
SRA2 ^h	1.7	2.0			2.0			2.2	1.6	3.1
SRA4 ^h	1.6	1.9		1.3	1.9	1.4		2.2	1.6	3.0
SRA11 ^h	1.6	2.0		1.4	1.9		3.7	2.2	1.6	3.0
SRA19 ^h	1.4	1.8		1.1	1.7	1.2		2.0	1.4	2.8
SRA20 ^h	1.8	2.1		1.5	2.0	1.5		2.3	1.7	3.1
SRA29 ^h	1.8	2.1		1.5	2.0	1.5		2.3	1.7	3.1

The predicted EWRC scores and associated colour code are presented for each tested combination of herbicides by variety. The predicted EWRC scores derive from the average EWRC scores for each trial series, using KQ228^h as reference variety, in an attempt to harmonise trial variations as symptom severity can vary between trials: weather conditions at application, and/or during the trial can alter cane growth and herbicide response. Predicted EWRC scores derive from average EWRC scores across the 10-week assessment period, which means higher symptoms intensity and scores could have been observed during the assessment period.

TABLE 4 Percentage sugarcane dry biomass reduction in the pot trial (10 weeks after spraying) compared to the untreated control. (Legend: bottom of page)

VARIETY	2,4-D	AMETRYN	AMETRYN+ TRIFLOXY- SULFURON	AMICARBA- ZONE	ASULAM	DIURON	FLUMIOXAZIN	METOLACHLOR	METRIBUZIN	MSMA
KQ228 ^h	-19%	-46%	-55%	-15%	-16%	-14%	-36%	no reduction	-25%	-21%
Q208 ^h	-29%		-33%		-12%			-51%	-21%	-50%
Q232 ^h	-13%		-42%		-26%			-33%	-13%	-33%
Q238 ^h	-40%		-34%		-62%			-35%	-32%	-39%
Q240 ^h	-36%		-28%		-41%			-7%	-21%	-37%
Q242 ^h	-14%		-12%		no reduction			no reduction	-7%	-12%
Q249 ^h	-54%		-46%		-61%			-24%	-7%	-65%
Q252 ^h	-38%		-11%		no reduction			-6%	-20%	-26%
SRA1 ^h	no reduction	no reduction			no reduction			no reduction	no reduction	no reduction
SRA2 ^h	no reduction	-5%			no reduction			no reduction	no reduction	-10%
SRA4 ^h	-19%	no reduction		-26%	-45%			-16%	no reduction	-47%
SRA11 ^h	no reduction	-9%		-33%	-57%		-49%	-18%	no reduction	-31%
SRA19 ^h	-38%	-52%		-38%	-85%	no reduction		no reduction	-10%	-66%
SRA20 ^h	-31%	-21%		no reduction	-96%	-6%		-4%	-37%	-18%

The predicted biomass reduction in the pot trials is represented in a green-to-red scale. The predicted biomass reduction derives from the biomass reduction for each trial series, using KQ228^h as reference variety, in an attempt to harmonise trial variations: weather conditions at application, and/or during the trial can alter cane growth and herbicide response. Predicted biomass reduction compared to the untreated is indicated in the table (a negative value indicates a biomass reduction compared to the untreated). Severe biomass reductions recorded 10 weeks after spraying are typical, as the plant metabolism has just been diverted into detoxifying the applied herbicide to the detriment of its growth. Usually yield loss by harvest time is less severe as the plant has had more time to recover from its growth delay.

TABLE 5 Percentage yield reduction in the field trial (at harvest) compared to the untreated control. (Legend: bottom of page)

The predicted yield reduction in the field trials is represented in a green-to-red scale. The predicted yield reduction is derived from the yield reduction for each field trial series. The percentage value compared to the untreated is indicated in the table (a negative value indicates a yield reduction compared to the untreated).

VARIETY	2,4-D	AMETRYN	AMETRYN+ TRIFLOXY- SULFURON	AMI- CARBAZONE	ASULAM	METO- LACHLOR	METRI- BUZIN	MSMA
KQ228 ^h	no reduction	-11%		-7%	-1%		no reduction	-1%
Q232 ^h			-6%			no reduction	-4%	-1%
Q238 ^h			-8%			-3%	-5%	-13%
Q242 ^h			no reduction			-3%	-2%	-11%
SRA1 ^h					no reduction		no reduction	-9%
SRA2 ^h					-6%		-3%	-8%
SRA4 ^h					-8%		-3%	-8%
SRA11 ^h				-4%	-1%			

Legend

% VALUE = BIOMASS/YIELD REDUCTION (-%) OR GAIN (+%) IN THE POT/FIELD TRIAL COMPARED TO THE UNTREATED

☐ COMBINATION OF HERBICIDE BY VARIETY NOT TESTED

SLIGHT BIOMASS/YIELD REDUCTION IN POT/
FIELD TRIAL COMPARED TO UNTREATED

↑ NO BIOMASS/YIELD REDUCTION IN POT/
FIELD TRIAL COMPARED TO UNTREATED

SEVERE BIOMASS/YIELD REDUCTION IN POT/
FIELD TRIAL COMPARED TO UNTREATED

↑ MODERATE BIOMASS/YIELD REDUCTION IN
POT/FIELD TRIAL COMPARED TO UNTREATED



DISEASE RESISTANCE

The table below indicates disease ratings of the recommended varieties. Disease has the potential to lower the performance of varieties on your farm. This table will help you select varieties that will perform well given the diseases that may be present on your farm.

Southern Disease Ratings											
VARIETY	MILL AREA RECOMMENDED	FIJI LEAF GALL	MOSAIC	LEAF SCALD	SMUT	CHLOROTIC STREAK	ORANGE RUST	BROWN RUST	RED ROT	YELLOW SPOT	PACHY-METRA
SRA39 ^{db}	B	R	R	R	R	U	U	U	R	U	R
SRA38 ^{db}	B	R	R	I	I-R	U	U	U	R	U	R
SRA34 ^{db}	B, M, RP	R	R	R	I	U	U	U	I	U	R
SRW33 ^{db}	B, I, M	R	R	R	R	U	R	U	R	U	I-R
SRA29 ^{db}	B, I, M	R	R	R	I	U	U	U	I	U	I-R
SRA20 ^{db}	B, I, M	I	R	I	R	U	U	U	R	U	R
SRA19 ^{db}	B, I, M	I	R	R	I	U	R	U	I-R	I	R
SRA11 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	R	R	R	R	U	R	U	I	U	R
SRA4 ^{db}	B, RP	R	R	R	I-R	U	R	U	R	I	R
SRA2 ^{db}	B, RP	R	I	R	I	U	I	U	R	I-R	R
SRA1 ^{db}	I, RP	I	R	R	I-R	U	R	R	I	I-R	I-R
Q252 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	I	R	R	I-R	U	R	U	R	I	I
Q247 ^{db}	B	R	R	R	I-R	U	R	U	R	S	R
Q245 ^{db}	B, I, M	R	R	R	R	U	R	U	S	R	R
Q242 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	R	R	R	I-R	I	R	U	I-R	R	R
Q240 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	I-S	R	R	R	I-R	R	U	R	I	I
Q238 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	I-R	R	R	R	S	R	R	I-R	S	R
Q235 ^{db}	RP	R	R	R	I-R	I-S	I-R	U	R	R	R
Q232 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	I	R	R	I-R	R	R	U	I-R	R	I
KQ228 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	I	R	R	I	S	R	R	R	I	I
Q208 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	I-S	R	R	I-R	R	R	R	R	R	I
Q183 ^{db}	B, I, M, RP	R	R	I	R	S	R	R	I	I-S	R
Q138	B, I, M, RP	R	S	R	S	I-R	R	R	I-S	I	R

B BUNDABERG
I ISIS
M MARYBOROUGH
RP ROCKY POINT

Rotation of Varieties

Rotation of varieties for each crop cycle is important in the management of diseases. Arrange for your local productivity services officer to inspect your farm for disease. The *Diseases of Australian Sugarcane Field Guide* provides information on diseases including how to identify and manage them. The guide is available on the SRA website.

Visit sugarresearch.com.au or scan the QR code.



NOTE: You will note that RSD resistance ratings are not included in this variety guide. Varietal resistance is not one of the three pillars of RSD disease management; growers should continue to ensure that disease-free seed cane is used to establish crops, that crops are planted into volunteer-free land and equipment is decontaminated regularly.

No sugarcane varieties are resistant to RSD: they can all become infected, suffer yield losses, and further spread the disease. Some varieties are more sensitive to RSD and carry significantly higher levels of the bacteria. In situations where RSD is a high risk and hygiene measures are not guaranteed, it may be appropriate to avoid varieties such as KQ228^{db} and Q252^{db}.

■ RESISTANT (R)
■ INTERMEDIATE - RESISTANT (I-R)
■ INTERMEDIATE (I)
■ INTERMEDIATE - SUSCEPTIBLE (I-S)
■ SUSCEPTIBLE (S)
□ UNKNOWN (U)



VARIETY ADOPTION IN EACH MILL AREA


Use this information to assess yield performance of varieties over a number of years. Caution should be taken when comparing commercial performance of newer varieties (from plant and young ratoons) to older/established varieties (which include older ratoons).

Bundaberg (% TONNES 2022)

In 2022, a total of 1,082,463 tonnes of cane was harvested from 11,552 hectares in the Bundaberg region. The Bundaberg mill area had an average yield of 95.8 tonnes of cane per hectare and an average CCS of 13.2.

Q240th continues its dominance as the majority variety in the Bundaberg region at 36 percent of production. The top three varieties (Q240th, KQ228th and Q208th) together make up 75% of production. Production of Q242th continues its decline, decreasing to below 1% of production in 2022.

Q232th, Q240th and Q252th performed at or above mill average for CCS in 2022.



(TCH & TSH 2022)






VARIETY ADOPTION IN EACH MILL AREA (CONT)

Isis (% TONNES 2022)


In 2022, a total of 849,033 tonnes of cane was harvested from 9,586 hectares in the Isis region. The Isis mill area had an average yield of 90.4 tonnes of cane per hectare and an average CCS of 12.8.

Q240^{db} accounts for the majority of production in the Isis region, production up from 46% in 2021 to 50.4%. SRA11^{db} has increased from 0.9% in 2020 to 7.7% in 2022. New varieties SRA19^{db} and SRA20^{db} are both above 1% production.

Q183, Q208^{db}, KQ228^{db}, Q240^{db}, SRA19^{db} and SRA20^{db} performed at or above mill average for CCS in 2022.



(TCH & TSH 2022)




Maryborough (% TONNES 2022)


In 2022, a total of 566,344 tonnes of cane was harvested from 7,263 hectares in the Maryborough region. The area had an average of 79.3 tonnes of cane per hectare and an average CCS of 12.9.

Q240^h is the major variety in production in the Maryborough region, with a slight increase from 38% to 39% in 2021 to 2022. SRA19^h increased from less than 1% in 2020 to 5.5% in 2022 while Q208^h decreased from 29% to 20%.

Q208^h, Q232^h, Q240^h, Q242^h, Q252^h and KQ228^h performed at or above mill average for CCS in 2022.



(TCH & TSH 2022)



MILL AVG TSH (10.21)

MILL AVG TCH (79.29)

■ TONNES OF CANE PER HECTARE (TCH) ■ TONNES OF SUGAR PER HECTARE (TSH)




VARIETY ADOPTION IN EACH MILL AREA (CONT)

Rocky Point (% TONNES 2022)

In 2022, a total of 194,364 tonnes of cane was harvested in the Rocky Point region. The Rocky Point mill area had an average CCS of 13.3. Area harvested was not reported.


Q232^h remains the dominant variety in 2022, accounting for 33% of the total harvest which is a slight decrease of 1% from the previous year. Q208^h increased by 7% to total 18% of the crop while KQ228^h and Q240^h saw a 4% and 2% drop.

Q183^h, Q208^h, KQ228^h, Q240^h, Q252^h and SRA4^h performed at or above mill average for CCS in 2022.




(CCS 2022 - AREA HARVESTED WAS NOT RECORDED FOR ROCKY POINT IN 2022)

MILL AVG CCS (13.3)





SUGARCANE BIOSECURITY ZONE MAP



- All appliances (harvesters and other sugarcane machinery) moving between sugarcane biosecurity zones must:
 - > be free of cane trash and soil
 - > be inspected by an authorised inspection person who will issue a Plant Health Assurance Certificate (PHAC)
 - > be accompanied during transportation by the PHAC.
- Machinery moving from NSW to Qld requires a Plant Health Certificate issued by NSW Department of Primary Industries.
- Machinery inspections can be arranged by contacting the local Productivity Service organisation.
- To move sugarcane plants (stalks, leaves, potted plants, etc) between biosecurity zones contact Biosecurity Queensland (13 25 23).



PROPAGATING NEW VARIETIES

Contact your local productivity services group for regional advice on varieties (see back page for details). They can supply approved planting material of recommended varieties and place orders for tissue culture plantlets.

Billet planting



PLANT MATERIAL FROM AN APPROVED SEED SOURCE

Approved seed provides cane growers with the highest quality planting materials in terms of disease status and being 'true-to-type'. Approved seed (stalks, billets, setts or tissue culture plantlets used for planting) is a key control measure for systemic diseases of sugarcane, including chlorotic streak, Fiji leaf gall, leaf scald, mosaic, ratoon stunting disease (RSD) and smut. Provision of approved seed in each mill area in the Australian sugar industry is coordinated by SRA, in cooperation with the local productivity services group. SRA provides DNA fingerprinted new varieties which the local productivity services group then maintains and distributes the approved seed to growers.



GROW SUGARCANE SPECIFICALLY FOR PLANTING MATERIAL

The block selected for growing plant material should be weed-free and sugarcane volunteer-free. When selecting cane for planting material the cane should be less than one year old, erect and free from damage. Plan for two or more eyes per sett when harvesting for billets or stick planting. For non-irrigated regions plants should be well watered, have adequate nutrition immediately prior to harvest for billet planting. For irrigated regions you may need to reduce fertiliser rates, withhold irrigation or plant late in the season. The cane should also have originated from an approved seed plot and therefore be no more than three years away from long hot water treatment.

The best "whole farm" disease risk minimisation and productivity strategies can be achieved through consistent access to approved seed. It is highly recommended that cane considered for use as planting material be RSD tested well in advanced of harvest so an informed choice can be made prior to planting.



SET UP THE HARVESTER FOR CUTTING HIGH QUALITY SOUND BILLETS

Rubber coating rollers and optimising the roller speeds to chopper speed will produce good quality billets with minimal split or crushed ends and damaged eyes. Reduce the speed of harvesting and maintain sharp basecutter and chopper blades for clean cutting. Disinfect the machinery used to cut and plant new varieties to limit the spread of disease and weeds.

Tissue culture



CALCULATE HOW MUCH TISSUE CULTURE TO ORDER

We've made it easier with our online tissue culture calculator. It demonstrates the speed at which large quantities of planting material can be produced from a set number of plantlets or for a set cost. Below is a look-up table including common results from the calculator (available at sugarresearch.com.au/calculator).



TRY TISSUE CULTURE AS AN APPROVED SEED SOURCE

Tissue culture is an excellent source of approved seed for all varieties and can help reduce the spread of serious diseases such as RSD, smut and Fiji leaf gall. Tissue-cultured plantings are more uniform and produce more sticks than conventional plantings so larger quantities of planting material are achieved the following year. This means earlier commercial-scale production of more productive new varieties can be achieved when using tissue culture.

STAGE	ORDER DEADLINE FOR SPRING PLANTING	ORDER DEADLINE FOR AUTUMN PLANTING
Grower finalises order. Productivity services group places order with SRA.	15 November	1 July
Productivity services group receives established plantlets from nursery and distributes to growers.	Delivery on agreed date between grower, productivity services group and nursery. Available in August.	Delivery on agreed date between grower, productivity services group and nursery. Available in March.

ESTIMATED COST AND TIME TO SCALE UP NEW VARIETY PRODUCTION USING TISSUE CULTURE					
Yr 1	No. plantlets ordered	100	250	500	1000
	Approximate cost	\$150	\$375	\$750	\$1500
	Metre row planted @ 0.8m	80	200	400	800
Yr 2	Metre row available for planting	2400	6000	12000	24000
	Ha avail for planting @ 1.8m	0.4	1.1	2.2	4.3

For more information on *tissue culture*, contact:

SRA Tissue Culture Manager **Clair Bolton** E cbolton@sugarresearch.com.au

T 07 3331 3374

PLANTING AND MANAGING TISSUE-CULTURED PLANTLETS IN THE FIELD

Planting

- Prepare soil to a fine tilth to ensure good soil/root contact.
- A seedling planter can be used if one is available, although hand planting small numbers is not a huge job. Plant them deep at the bottom of a drill to prevent stool tipping.
- Fill in after early growth.
- Plant the plantlets 50cm to 1m apart. A good distance is 80cm, which will allow tillering to produce a high number of sticks.

Irrigating

- Provision of water is the most critical factor for the successful establishment of tissue culture plantlets.
- Irrigate plantlets immediately after planting and monitor them to ensure they don't dry out over the first three weeks to get the roots well established.
- If you do not have access to flood or sprinkler irrigation a simple irrigation system can be set up using cheap drip tape and an in-line filter hooked up to your garden tap or water tanker.

Weeds

Weed control is important for good establishment and growth.

- Ideally pre-irrigate the soil to germinate weeds, then apply a knock-down herbicide or cultivate just prior to planting to reduce the weed pressure on young plantlets.
- Allow at least one week after planting before applying pre-emergent herbicides, longer if planted into cold, wet soils, as the root system needs time to establish:
 - > Atradox® at 2.5kg/ha plus Dual Gold® at 1.5L/ha has been successfully applied over the top, for grass and broadleaf weed control.
 - > Do not use diuron as young plantlets are sensitive to this product.
- Semptra® at 100g/ha plus Activator at 200mL/100L for nutgrass. Both applications were sprayed over the top for nutgrass control.
- Do not use paraquat unless you have no other option and only on established plantings.

Insects

- If you expect problems with insects then an application of an insecticide drench (such as chlorpyrifos or imidacloprid) at planting will protect the young plantlets.
- In canegrub-prone areas use your standard grub control treatment.

Fertiliser

- Fertiliser requirements of the tissue cultured plantlets are the same as for billet plantings.
- If possible, plant with a planter mix to maintain good early growth, and side-dress later to avoid fertiliser burn.

Your local productivity services and agronomy groups:



Isis Productivity Ltd:
T 07 4126 1444



Maryborough Cane
Productivity Services:
M 0487 017 811



Sugar Services
Bundaberg:
T 07 4151 2555



Rocky Point
Productivity Services:
T 07 5546 1481



Sugar Research Australia Limited
ABN 16 163 670 068

Brisbane Office Level 10, 300 Queen Street QLD 4000 Australia
Postal Address GPO Box 133, Brisbane Qld 4001 Australia

T 07 3331 3333
E sra@sugarresearch.com.au
sugarresearch.com.au

